

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 18, 2003 LB 608

programs. But I do think we have to go back to LB 270 and say, what are we doing for small and existing businesses in LB 608? We're getting rid of what Senator Landis very accurately referred to as a reward system. It is not an incentive; it is a reward system. Okay. Those of us who are economic development champions will accept that that's the case, but what are we getting in terms of giving that piece up? Senator Landis said that we are getting, from a budgetary standpoint, a little give, that we can use the money for some other purpose. But what I would like to know is what we are doing to provide an incentive for small and existing businesses. That was the thrust behind LB 270. If we didn't do it right...and I would agree with Senator Landis, maybe we didn't. Is Senator Landis on the floor? I...there he is. Senator Landis, would you respond about what in LB 608 gives us something that we can give back to our small and existing businesses?

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Let me tell you two places where you could act, because of LB 608, that you can't act now. But they're not in LB 608. Number one, we have gutted the "microenterprise" system, and we could fund it now without having a budgetary spillover. We have put on the chopping block job training money, I think to the tune of \$6 million a year.

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: If you did this, you could spend money for a real economic development tool like job training money.

SENATOR BROWN: Could you...?

SENATOR LANDIS: Between LB 270 and job training money, job training money is far more important. Out of this, you get budgetary relief that you can respond to, in the event, what you can afford.

SENATOR BROWN: What is the number that you would point to for the impact of eliminating LB 270?